

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,092	02/18/2004	Timothy P. Mann	A42	4767
36378 7590 09/09/2008 VMWARE, INC.			EXAMINER	
DARRYL SMITH			SAXENA, AKASH	
3401 Hillview Ave. PALO ALTO, CA 94304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/782.092 MANN, TIMOTHY P. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2128 AKASH SAXENA All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) AKASH SAXENA. (3) Darryl Smith (Rea# 37723). (4)Rajeev Madnawat (w/Mr. Smith). (2) Alex Kosowski (Primary). Date of Interview: 03 September 2008. c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 2. Identification of prior art discussed: Russell. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Applicants representative is going to provide their interpretation of term "substantially" along with court cases and rationale, for 112 \(\sqrt{2}^n\). For rejection under 112 \(\sqrt{1}^s\)!, relating to plurality of timers, applicant has agreed to change it to "a timer". Further, the term "real time" was discussed and applicant has agreed to review the claim to keep the distinction and association between the real time and virtual/apparent times/timers. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.